Peer Evaluation of a Lecture

Key to the response scale

Strongly Agree	Agree	Mildly Agree	Mildly Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
5	4	3	2	1	0

If any statement is not applicable as in item 4.3, indicate as NA in the cage

1. The introduction and Objectives

- 1.1 The introduction was clear
- 1.2 The introduction to the lecture was adequate
- 1.3 The objectives were clear
- 1.4 Objectives were relevant and adequate
- 1.5 Audio- visual materials were ready on time

2. The clarity of the lecture

- 2.1 The lecture was delivered in simple language
- 2.2 The pronunciation was clear
- 2.3 The voice was adequately audible
- 2.4 The order of the contents was properly arranged
- 2.5 The speed of the lecture was optimum

3. The quality of delivery of the lecture

- 3.1 The lecturer was talking to the audience
- 3.2 Eye contact with the audience was satisfactory
- 3.3 Changes in the pitch of the voice were appropriate
- 3.4 Important points were stressed
- 3.5 Nonverbal communications were appropriately used
- 3.6 There were appropriate acceptable anecdotes
- 3.7 Lecturer retained my attention throughout the lecture

4. Explanations

- 4.1 Graphs, charts and pictures were used to explain, where necessary
- 4.2 Appropriate examples (clinical or other) were used to explain
- 4.3 Extra attempts were made to further clarify when necessary (if lecturer felt that the audience did not understand)

5. Audio - visual materials

5.1 Size and font of the letters in visual aids was appropriate

- 5.2 Appropriate background was applied in the multimedia presentation
- 5.3 The colour contrast between letters and background was good
- 5.4 Pictures and graphs were clear
- 5.5 The audio-visual materials were properly organized
- 5.6 The audio-visual materials were properly handled by the lecturer

6. Timing and lecture breaks

- 6.1 Lecture was started on time
- 6.2 Lecture was stopped early to allow reasonable time before the next learning session
- 6.3 Lecture breaks were usefully utilized by giving active learning exercises

7. Unwanted features

- 7.1 Unnecessary and inappropriate words were used during the lecture
- 7.2 The lecturer appeared to be in a bad mood
- 7.3 There were unacceptable remarks about students
- 7.4 There was irrelevant content in the lecture
- 7.5 Filling words and sounds were used during the lecture

8. Winding up

- 8.1 The lecture was summarized adequately
- 8.2 The relevance of the lecture to the subject and practice was stated
- 8.3 Past questions were discussed
- 8.4 Reference were cited

9. Overall quality

9.1 The overall quality of the lecture was good

Name of Teacher: Department:

Name of Evaluator:

Signature: Date:

